

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,327	07/01/2003	David A. Boyd	BOYD-002CIA	3811
28661	7590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD. P O BOX 6149		FERNSTROM, KURT		
STATELINE, NV 89449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	رے
	ر آ
: .	
SS	$\frac{1}{2}$
*	
• .	
• =	
inication.	
· :	
•	
erits is	
	ľ
.121(d). I52.	
152.	
• •	ľ
ge	
. *	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>'</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
	10/612,327	BOYD, DAVID A	· ·		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kurt Fernstrom	3712			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status			. :		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 ·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
			* .		
Disposition of Claims		9			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	•		•)•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		*		
Application Papers	ï				
<u> </u>		*			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino		h. the Francisco			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P	10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. •			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		··	l Stage		
application from the International Burea			Clago		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received			
See the diagned detailed office detail for a list	or the certified copies no	r received.			
	:				
Attachment(s)			• • •		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	- %		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/12/04</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	 ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,327

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Potter. Jones discloses in column 5, lines 34-60 a method of playing craps where cards, numbered one through six, are used in lieu of dice. Jones fails to disclose that a predetermined result is paid when both cards are of the same suit. Potter discloses in column 1, lines 35-48 a method of playing a card game whereby the player receives two cards, and receives a payout if the cards are suited. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the method disclosed by Jones by providing cards having suits, and giving the player a payout if the two cards are suited, for the purpose of creating an additional way for a player to win a payout. Potter also discloses in lines 40-45 an additional payment for meeting an additional criteria; namely the rank of the cards. This teaching also reads on the limitations of claim 3. With respect to claims 5 and 6, Potter further discloses that a player places a wager on the given criteria occurring, and receives a corresponding payout. With respect to claim 7, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in card games to provide a plurality of decks in a game to thwart "card counting". With respect Application/Control Number: 10/612,327

Art Unit: 3712

to claim 8, Official Notice is further taken that it is well known in card games to return used cards to the deck prior to playing the next round of the game.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Potter, and further in view of Hobert. Jones as viewed in combination with Potter discloses all of the limitations of the claim with the exception of identical values being used to determine a payout. However, such a feature is well known in the art, as disclosed for example by Hobert in column 4, lines 13-18. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the method disclosed by Jones as viewed in combination with Potter by providing a payout for "doubles" for the purpose of providing an additional way for the player to win a payout.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Potter, and further in view of Brown. Jones as viewed in combination with Potter discloses all of the limitations of the claim with the exception of a predetermined suit being used to determine a payout. However, such a feature is known in the art. Brown discloses in column 4, lines 15-20 a game where an ace of hearts and a Jack of spades constitutes a winning hand, thus suggesting the use of a specific suit to trigger a payout. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the method disclosed by Jones as viewed in combination with Potter by providing a payout for a particular suit for the purpose of providing an additional way for the player to win a payout.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,327

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stasi, Feinberg, Rubin and Angileri disclose various methods of playing craps games.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-0303. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Feaston

KF May 25, 2004